1		A Bill
2	•	
3		SENATE BILL 500
4 5		
6		
7		
8		ct To Be Entitled
9		CONCERNING THE CUSTODIAL
10		AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.
11		
12	2	
13	3	Subtitle
14	4 TO AMEND THE LAW C	ONCERNING THE CUSTODIAL
15	5 INTERROGATION OF M	INORS.
16	6	
17	7	
18	8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMB	Y OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS:
19	9	
20	O SECTION 1. DO NOT CODIFY. Le	gislative findings.
21	1 The General Assembly finds that	ıt:
22	2 <u>(1) Developmental and 1</u>	neurological science concludes that the
23	3 process of cognitive brain developme	ent continues into adulthood and that the
24	4 <u>human brain undergoes dynamic change</u>	es throughout adolescence that continue
25	well into young adulthood;	
26	6 <u>(2) The United States S</u>	Supreme Court found that children:
27	7 <u>(A) Generally are</u>	e less mature and responsible than adults.
28	8 J.D.B. v. North Carolina, 564 U.S. 2	61 (2011) (quoting Eddings v. Oklahoma,
29		
30		e experience, perspectives, and judgment
31	-	could be detrimental to them. J.D.B. v.
32		(quoting Belloti v. Baird, 443 U.S. 622
33		
34		merable or susceptible to outside
35		th Carolina, 564 U.S. 261 (2011) (quoting
36	6 Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551 (2005)	<u>)));</u>

1	(D) Have limited understanding of the criminal justice	
2	system and the roles of the institutional actors within it. Graham v .	
3	Florida, 560 U.S. 48 (2010); and	
4	(E) Characteristically lack the capacity to exercise	
5	mature judgment and possess only an incomplete ability to understand the	
6	world around them. J.D.B. v. North Carloina, 564 U.S. 261 (2011);	
7	(3) Custodial interrogation of an individual by the state	
8	requires that the individual be advised of his or her rights and make a	
9	knowing, intelligent, and voluntary waiver of those rights before the	
10	interrogation proceeds;	
11	(4) Children who are under sixteen (16) years of age do not have	
12	the same ability as adults to comprehend the meaning of their rights and the	
13	consequences of the waiver of their rights; and	
14	(5) In situations of custodial interrogation and before the	
15	waiver of rights under Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966), children who	
16	are under sixteen (16) years of age should consult legal counsel to assist in	
17	their understanding of their rights and the consequences of waiving their	
18	rights.	
19		
20	SECTION 2. Arkansas Code Title 16, Chapter 80, Subchapter 1, is	
21	amended to add an additional section to read as follows:	
22	16-80-105. Right of minor to consult with attorney.	
23	(a) As used in this section, "minor" means a person who is under	
24	sixteen (16) years of age.	
25	(b)(l) A minor shall be given the opportunity to consult with an	
26	attorney in person, by telephone, or by video conference before:	
27	(A) A custodial interrogation of the minor; or	
28	(B) The minor waives his or her rights provided in Miranda	
29	v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).	
30	(2) The right of a minor to consult with an attorney as provided	
31	under subdivision (b)(1) of this section shall not be waived.	
32	(c) In determining the admissibility of a statement of a minor that is	
33	made during or after a custodial interrogation of the minor, the court shall	
34	consider the effect of a failure to comply with subsection (b) of this	
35	section.	
36	(d) This section does not prevent a statement of a minor obtained	

1	during a custodial interrogation of the minor from being admissible as
2	evidence if the law enforcement officer who questioned the minor:
3	(1) Reasonably believed that the information he or she sought
4	was necessary to protect life or property from an imminent threat; and
5	(2) Limited his or her questions to questions that were
6	reasonably necessary to obtain the information necessary to protect life or
7	property from an imminent threat.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	