1	State of Arkansas 93rd General Assembly A Bill	
2		BILL 665
3 4	Regular Session, 2021 SENATE	DILL 003
5	By: Senators B. Ballinger, Hill	
6	By: Representative Gonzales	
7		
8	For An Act To Be Entitled	
9	AN ACT TO MODIFY THE STATUTES CONCERNING COVENANT NOT	
10	TO COMPETE AGREEMENTS; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.	
11		
12		
13	Subtitle	
14	TO MODIFY THE STATUTES CONCERNING	
15	COVENANT NOT TO COMPETE AGREEMENTS.	
16		
17		
18	BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS:	
19		
20	SECTION 1. Arkansas Code § 4-75-101 is amended to read as follo	ws:
21	4-75-101. Covenant not to compete agreements.	
22	(a) A covenant not to compete agreement is enforceable if the	
23	agreement is ancillary to an employment relationship or part of an oth	nerwise
24	enforceable employment agreement or contract to the extent that:	
25	(1) The employer has a protectable business interest; and	
26	(2) The covenant not to compete agreement is limited with	
27	respect to time, geographic scope, and scope type of commercial activi	
28	manner that is not greater than necessary to defend the protectable bu	ısiness
29	interest of the employer; and	
30	(3) The covenant not to compete agreement does not unduly	<u>r</u>
31	burden:	
32	(A) An employee's:	
33	(i) Ability to obtain gainful employment; and	<u>l</u>
34 35	(ii) Freedom of movement; and (B) The public such as by upresserably limiting	
35 36	(B) The public, such as by unreasonably limiting competition in the employer's industry.	
	CANDALLE FOR THE LUC CONTONEL & THUIST V.	

```
1
           (b)(1) For the purposes of subsection (a) of this section, the
 2
    protectable business interest of the employer includes the employer's:
 3
                       (1)(A) Trade secrets;
 4
                       (2)(B) Intellectual property;
 5
                       \frac{(3)}{(C)} Customer lists that are not compiled as a result of
6
    action by an employee;
 7
                       (4)(D) Goodwill with customers that is not based on the
8
    action of an employee;
9
                       (5)(E) Knowledge of his or her the employer's business
10
    practices that are unique or peculiar to the employer;
11
                       (6)(F) Methods that are specialized and unique to the
12
    employer;
13
                       (7)(G) Profit margins;
14
                       (8)(H) Costs;
15
                       (9)(I) Other confidential business information that is
16
     confidential, proprietary, and increases in value from not being known by a
17
     competitor unless that information is available in the public domain;
18
                       (10)(J) Training and education of the employer's employees
19
    that is not provided in the regular course of employment; and
20
                       (11)(K) Other valuable employer data that the employer has
21
    provided to an employee that an employer would reasonably seek and has sought
22
     to protect or safeguard from a competitor in the interest of fairness.
23
                 (2) As used in subdivision (b)(1) of this section, "protectable
24
    business interest" does not include information available in the public
25
    domain.
26
           (c)(1) The lack of a specific or defined geographic descriptive
27
    restriction in a covenant not to compete agreement does not make the covenant
    not to compete agreement overly broad under subdivision (a)(2) of this
28
29
    section if the covenant not to compete agreement is limited with respect to
30
    time and scope in a manner that is not greater than necessary to defend the
31
    protectable business interest of the employer Whether or not a covenant not
32
    to compete agreement satisfies the requirements of subsection (a) of this
33
    section.
                 (2) The reasonableness of a covenant not to compete agreement
34
35
     shall be determined after considering, among other things:
36
                 \frac{(A)}{(1)} The nature of the employer's protectable business
```

1	interest;
2	$\frac{(B)}{(2)}$ The geographic scope of the employer's business and
3	whether or not a the covenant not to compete agreement's geographic
4	limitation is feasible appropriate under the circumstances;
5	$\frac{(G)}{(3)}$ Whether or not the restriction placed on the employee is
6	limited to a specific group of customers or other individuals or entities
7	associated with the employer's business; and
8	$\frac{(D)}{(4)}$ The nature of the employer's business:
9	(5) The extent of the burden the covenant not to compete
10	agreement places on the employee's:
11	(A) Ability to obtain gainful employment; and
12	(B) Freedom of movement; and
13	(6) The extent of the burden the covenant not to compete
14	agreement places on the public, such as limiting competition in the
15	employer's industry or by inhibiting the free movement of employees to
16	markets and regions in need of the employee's labor and skills.
17	(d) A post-termination restriction of $\frac{1}{1}$ two (2) years one (1) year is
18	presumptively reasonable as to length of time under subdivision (a)(2) of
19	this section unless the facts and circumstances of a particular case clearly
20	demonstrate that $\frac{1}{1}$ two (2) years one (1) year is unreasonable compared to the
21	employer's protectable business interest.
22	(e)(1) A covenant not to compete agreement shall be separately signed
23	from any standard employment agreement and any other ancillary agreements
24	with clear notice from the employer to the employee of the nature and scope
25	of the covenant not to compete agreement.
26	(2) An employer shall provide a copy of the employee's job
27	description to the employee at the time of execution of a covenant not to
28	<pre>compete agreement.</pre>
29	(3) If an employer fails to comply with subdivision (e)(1) or
30	subdivision (e)(2) of this section, then the covenant not to compete
31	agreement is unenforceable.
32	$\frac{(e)(1)(f)(1)}{(f)(1)}$ In a private court action, a court may award the employed
33	damages for a breach of a covenant not to compete agreement, appropriate
34	injunctive relief, or both, if appropriate.
35	(2) The immediate harm associated with the breach of a covenant

not to compete agreement shall be considered irreparable to establish the

36

2 (3) This subsection does not limit: 3 (A) Any other defense available to a party against a claim 4 for preliminary injunctive relief; or 5 (B) An employer's right to monetary damages for breach of 6 a covenant not to compete agreement. 7 (f)(1)(g) If restrictions in a covenant not to compete agreement are 8 found to be unreasonable and impose a greater restraint than is necessary to 9 protect the protectable business interest of the employer allowed under 10 subdivision (a)(1) subsection (a) of this section, the court shall reform the 11 covenant not to compete agreement to the extent necessary to: covenant not to 12 compete agreement is unenforceable. 13 (A) Cause the limitations contained in the covenant not to 14 compete agreement to be reasonable; and 15 (B) Impose a restraint that is not greater than necessary 16 to protect the protectable business interest. 17 (2) The court shall enforce the covenant not to compete agreement under the reformed terms and conditions. 18 19 (g)(h) An employee's continued employment is sufficient consideration 20 for a covenant not to compete agreement. 21 (h)(l)(i)(l) This subsection section does not apply to a covenant not 22 to compete agreement that is ancillary to other contractual relationships, 23 including any type of agreement for the sale and purchase of a business, 24 franchise agreement, and any other agreement not ancillary to an employment 25 relationship or employment contract. 26 (2) Existing common law standards governing a covenant not to 27 compete agreement outside the employment background shall remain in effect. 28 $\frac{(i)(1)}{(i)}(1)$ This section shall does not apply to other types of 29 agreements between employers and employees that do not concern competition or 30 competitive work, including: 31 (A) Agreements not to solicit, recruit, or hire employees; 32 (B) Confidentiality agreements; 33 (C) Nondisclosure agreements; and 34 The terms and conditions of an employment or (D) 35 employment agreement. 36 Existing common law standards governing these types of (2)

appropriateness of a preliminary injunction.

1

1 agreements the agreements described in subdivision (j)(1) of this section 2 shall remain in effect. 3 (k)(1) The burden of proof shall be on an employer attempting to 4 enforce a covenant not to compete agreement. 5 (2) An employer that does not prevail in the employer's attempt 6 to enforce a covenant not to compete agreement against an employee is 7 responsible for the attorney's fees incurred by the employee. 8 (j)(1) This section shall does not: 9 (1) Be read to impair Impair, limit, or change a party's 10 protections and rights under the Arkansas Trade Secrets Act, § 4-75-601 et 11 seq.; or 12 (2) Apply to a person who: 13 (A) holding Holds a professional license under Arkansas 14 Code Title 17, Subtitle 3; or 15 (B)(i) Is a nonmanagement employee. 16 (ii)(a) As used in this section, "nonmanagement 17 employee" means an employee who is not employed in a supervisory role as 18 described in the employee's job description under the employer's written 19 policy. 20 (b) "Nonmanagement employee" does not include 21 an employee who is employed in a position that requires significant skill or 22 training. 23 (m) A covenant not to compete agreement between an employer and a 24 nonmanagement employee is unenforceable. 25 26 SECTION 2. DO NOT CODIFY. Legislative findings and intent. 27 (a) The General Assembly finds that: 28 (1) Many employers in Arkansas require a prospective or current 29 employee to sign a covenant not to compete agreement to gain employment or 30 remain employed; 31 (2) An employee in need of employment and a steady paycheck will 32 often sign a covenant not to compete agreement without reading and 33 understanding the terms and conditions outlined in the covenant not to 34 compete agreement; 35 (3) Often, an employer can prevent an employee from finding new 36 employment with a potentially higher salary or better benefits due to the

1	covenant not to compete agreement;
2	(4) In fact, an employee may not be able to find any job in
3	their chosen field regardless of salary or benefits due to a covenant not to
4	<pre>compete agreement;</pre>
5	(5) An employer is able to use a covenant not to compete
6	agreement to keep an employee's salary static while at the same time
7	preventing that employee from exploring any employment opportunities in the
8	<pre>employee's chosen field;</pre>
9	(6) A covenant not to compete agreement is often the product of
10	unequal bargaining power and an employee generally does not grant sufficient
11	attention to the hardship that a covenant not to compete agreement imposes on
12	the employee after the employee leaves the job;
13	(7) An employee is seldom able to dispute how unreasonable a
14	covenant not to compete agreement is on the employee because the legal
15	expenses incurred are too great;
16	(8) Many employers are not treating employees in a fair and
17	equitable manner when requiring an employee to sign a covenant not to compete
18	agreement to remain employed;
19	(9) Employers regularly require employees to sign a covenant not
20	to compete agreement solely to restrain competition in the employment market
21	rather than to protect a legitimate business interest such as trade secrets
22	or genuinely confidential information;
23	(10) Many other states enforce covenant not to compete
24	agreements less frequently than Arkansas;
25	(11) Some states prohibit the enforcement of covenant not to
26	compete agreements in employment situations and yet those states have vibrant
27	and flourishing economies;
28	(12) This demonstrates that existing law governing covenant not
29	to compete agreements in Arkansas is not necessary for this state to have a
30	well-functioning and dynamic economy;
31	(13) A better balance is needed between the legitimate interests
32	of employers seeking to protect genuinely proprietary information on the one
33	hand, and on the other hand, the rights of employees to economic freedom, the
34	freedom of movement, and the values of free market competition; and
35	(14) It should be the choice of an employee as to where the
36	employee is employed as it should be the choice of a consumer as to where the

1	consumer does business in Arkansas because consumer choice is a priority in
2	business transactions and employees should have the same rights as consumers.
3	(b) This act does not affect the ability of an employer to protect the
4	employer's trade secrets under the Arkansas Trade Secrets Act, § 4-75-601 et
5	seq.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	