1	State of Arkansas	
2	95th General Assembly A Bill	
3	Regular Session, 2025HOUSE BILL 19	939
4		
5	By: Representative Joey Carr	
6	By: Senator J. English	
7		
8	For An Act To Be Entitled	
9	AN ACT TO AMEND PROVISIONS OF THE ARKANSAS CODE	
10	CONCERNING TEACHER INCENTIVE AND MERIT PAY; TO AMEND	
11	REQUIREMENTS CONCERNING SUMMATIVE EVALUATIONS UNDER	
12	THE TEACHER EXCELLENCE AND SUPPORT SYSTEM; TO AMEND	
13	PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE MERIT	
14	TEACHER INCENTIVE FUND PROGRAM; AND FOR OTHER	
15	PURPOSES.	
16		
17		
18	Subtitle	
19	TO AMEND ELIGIBILITY FOR TEACHER	
20	INCENTIVE AND MERIT PAY; TO AMEND	
21	REQUIREMENTS FOR SUMMATIVE EVALUATIONS;	
22	AND TO AMEND SCHOOL DISTRICT	
23	REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE MERIT TEACHER	
24	INCENTIVE FUND PROGRAM.	
25		
26	BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS:	
27		
28	SECTION 1. Arkansas Code § 6-17-413, concerning yearly incentive	
29	bonuses for certain teachers with National Board for Professional Teaching	
30	Standards certification funding, is amended to add an additional subsection	
31	to read as follows:	
32	(g) A person shall not be qualified to receive funds under this	
33	section if he or she has not satisfied the requirements of this section by	
34	July 1, 2025.	
35		
36	SECTION 2. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2805(c), concerning summative	



1 evaluations under the Teacher Excellence and Support System, is amended to 2 read as follows: 3 (c) A summative evaluation shall: 4 (1) Result in a written an evaluation determination, which may 5 be done in writing or digitally, for the teacher's performance on all 6 evaluation domains as a whole; 7 (2) Use the evaluation framework and evaluation rubric 8 appropriate to the teacher's role; 9 (3)(A) Use multiple sources of evidence of the teacher's 10 professional practice including direct observation, indirect observation, artifacts, and data. 11 12 (B) Subdivision (c)(3)(A) of this section does not require 13 that every component of the domains be evidenced by artifacts when 14 observation or data is used. 15 (C) A school or school district may adopt policies to: 16 (i) Incorporate peer observations and student 17 feedback that contribute to the summative rating; and 18 (ii) Substitute for the whole or any part of the 19 summative evaluation any part of a teacher's work completed for the 20 certification or renewal of a certification from the National Board for 21 Professional Teaching Standards; 22 (4) Include presentations of evidence chosen by the teacher, the 23 evaluator, or both; 24 (5) Provide an opportunity for the evaluator and teacher to 25 discuss the review of evidence used in the evaluation; and 26 (6) Provide feedback based on the evaluation rubric that the 27 teacher can use to improve teaching skills and student learning. 28 29 SECTION 3. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2805, concerning summative evaluations 30 under the Teacher Excellence and Support System, is amended to add additional 31 subsections to read as follows: 32 (e) In a year in which a public school does not perform a summative evaluation, the public school shall perform an annual rating that satisfies 33 34 the requirements of the Merit Teacher Incentive Fund Program under § 6-17-35 2901 et seq. (f) As used in this section, "annual rating" means a formative or 36

2

1	summative rating given at the end of each school year that is based on
2	observations, which may be based on a teacher's professional growth plan, and
3	\underline{that} includes without limitation components within an evaluation framework as
4	reported in the state-approved evaluation system.
5	
6	SECTION 4. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2904(a), concerning eligibility for an
7	award from the Merit Teacher Incentive Fund Program, is amended to read as
8	follows:
9	(a) Eligibility for an award from the Merit Teacher Incentive Fund
10	Program shall include without limitation:
11	(1)(A) A teacher who demonstrates outstanding growth in student
12	performance, as determined by the Division of Elementary and Secondary
13	Education.
14	(B)(i) In determining whether a teacher has demonstrated
15	outstanding growth in student performance, the division shall calculate a
16	value-added growth model score for grades and subjects, where possible.
17	(ii) The division shall develop rules to establish
18	the process and procedure for public school districts to annually report data
19	related to value-added models that includes without limitation:
20	(a) Student test scores; and
21	(b) Prior student performance by subject and
22	school <u>.</u>
23	(C) A public school district shall perform an annual
24	rating at the end of each school year based on observations, which may be
25	based on a teacher's professional growth plan, and that includes without
26	limitation components within an evaluation framework as reported in the
27	state-approved evaluation system;
28	(2) Teachers serving as mentors to aspiring teachers;
29	(3) Aspiring teachers participating in yearlong residencies;
30	(4) Teachers instructing in subject areas or geographical areas
31	identified as experiencing a critical shortage of teachers, including public
32	schools that have historically been understaffed, as determined by the
33	division; and
34	(5) Other categories as defined by the state board <u>State Board</u>
35	of Education.
36	

3