
2022 Adequacy Study

FUNDING TO DISTRICTS AND CHARTER SYSTEMS/FEBRUARY 2022









2022 Funding Report

- · Introduction / Literature Review
- Foundation Funding (Matrix)
- Items not in the Matrix
- Categorical Funds
- · Supplemental Funding
- · Other Local, State & Federal Funding



Today's Major Take-Aways

- Bulk of schools' funding provided through "foundation funding" (matrix).
- · Issues with the matrix itself important to understand.
- 500-member school critical to efficiency and achievement
- Almost 40% of schools funding comes from sources other than foundation.
- Research and educators point to other resources that are important for providing an adequate education but aren't specified in the matrix.





Research: Funding Public Schools

Major Trends:

- New operationalization finds increased funding correlates with improved achievement
 - Smaller class sizes at lower grades
 - Funding struggling students at weight of .2
- Weighting for smaller schools
- Inputs vs. Outputs Methodology



Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Federal Funds	
--	--

Research: Funding Public Schools

- After the 1970s, states and local governments became largely equal partners, with the federal government kicking in about 10%.
- Arkansas's 2020 mix: state (47%), local (40%) and federal (13%)



Research Findings: Funding Public Schools Adequacy Studies: What does it cost to provide adequate education 49 adequacy studies from 2003-19 in 31 states (APA) 67% sponsored by state entities 53% sponsored by state engantations ORP (2017) found majority of study results for additional funding not implemented Research Findings: Funding Public Schools 4 Main Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) Foressional Judgment (21) Successful Schools/Districts (17) Cost Function (8) Research Findings: Funding Public Schools A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) Foressional Judgment (21) Successful Schools/Districts (17) Cost Function (8) A Ways to Study Evidence-Based (18) A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) Foressional Judgment (21) Successful Schools/Districts (17) Cost Function (8) A Ways to Study Evidence-Based (18) A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) Foressional Judgment (21) Successful Schools/Districts (17) Cost Function (8) A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) Foressional Judgment (21) Successful Schools/Districts (17) Cost Function (8) A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) Foressional Judgment (21) Successful Schools/Districts (17) Cost Function (8) A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) Foressional Judgment (21) Successful Schools/Districts (17) Cost Function (8) A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) Foressional Judgment (21) Successful Schools/Districts (17) Foresting the Major (18) A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) Foresting the Major (18) Foresting the Major (18) A Ways to Study Adequacy: Evidence-Based (18) Foresting the Major (Matrix Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds
Adequacy Studies: What does it cost to provide adequate education 49 adequacy studies from 2003-19 in 31 states (APA) 47% sponsored by state entities 53% sponsored by state entities ORP (2017) found majority of study results for additional funding not implemented *** ********************************	earch Findings: Funding Public Schools
Research Findings: Funding Public Schools 4 Main Ways to Study Adequacy: - !vidence-Based (18) - Professional Judgment (21) - Successful Schools/Districts (17) - Cost Function (8) Research Findings: Funding Public Schools Research Findings: Funding Public Schools Research Findings: Funding Public Schools States use 4 main funding mechanisms: 1. Student-based Foundation Funding 2. Resource-based Allocation	quacy Studies: hat does it cost to provide adequate education adequacy studies from 2003-19 in 31 states (APA) 7% sponsored by state entities 3% sponsored by outside organizations &P (2017) found majority of study results for Iditional funding not implemented
Research Findings: Funding Public Schools 4 Main Ways to Study Adequacy: - Evidence-Based (18) - Professional Judgment (21) - Successful Schools/Districts (17) - Cost Function (8) ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
4 Main Ways to Study Adequacy: • Evidence-Based (18) • Professional Judgment (21) • Successful Schools/ Districts (17) • Cost Function (8) * * * * * * ***********************	Matrix / Categorical / Funds / Federal Funds /
* Evidence-Based (18) Professional Judgment (21) Successful Schools/Districts (17) Cost Function (8) * * * * * * * **********************	
Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Federal Funds Research Findings: Funding Public Schools States use 4 main funding mechanisms: 1. Student-based Foundation Funding 2. Resource-based Allocation Other State & Federal Funds Federal	lence-Based (18) fessional Judgment (21) cessful Schools/Districts (17)
Research Findings: Funding Public Schools States use 4 main funding mechanisms: 1. Student-based Foundation Funding 2. Resource-based Allocation 3. Hybrid	* * * * 3993 ADEQUACY REPORT * * * * * * *
Research Findings: Funding Public Schools States use 4 main funding mechanisms: 1. Student-based Foundation Funding 2. Resource-based Allocation 3. Hybrid	
Research Findings: Funding Public Schools States use 4 main funding mechanisms: 1. Student-based Foundation Funding 2. Resource-based Allocation 3. Hybrid	
States use 4 main funding mechanisms: 1. Student-based Foundation Funding 3. Hybrid 2. Resource-based Allocation	
1. Student-based Foundation Funding 3. Hybrid 2. Resource-based Allocation	earch Findings: Funding Public Schools
2. Resource-based Allocation	
	ource-based Allocation
Charters usually funded in similar manner	

		Cunal	emental Other State &		
Intro	oduction	Matrix Categorical Supplier	Inds Federal Funds		
ŀ	How Ot	ther States Fund Pu	ublic Schools		
Δ	Accordin	ng to Education Com	mission of the		
S	tates:				
• 3	34 use Stud	dent-based Foundation Fur	ding (down from 37)		
• 9	use Reso	urce-based Allocation			
• 5	use a Hyl	brid of above			
• 2	use a Gua	aranteed Tax-base			
AST OF					
# =	* * 7	★ ★ ★ 2022 ADEQUACY REPORT	* * * * * *		
		N Committee	Other Control		
Intro	oduction	Matrix Categorical Suppl	emental Other State & Federal Funds		
		Wattix Categorical Fi	inds Federal Funds		
		Matrix Categorical Supples	inds Federal Funds		
	How Ot	ther States Fund Pu	ublic Schools		
	How Ot	Wattix Categorical Fi	inds Federal Funds		
Top N Massach New Jer	How Ot NAEP States husetts rsey	ther States Fund Pu 10 Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding \$14,196 \$18,249		
Top N Massach New Jer New Ha	How Ot NAEP States husetts rsey umpshire	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291		
Top N Massact New Jer New Ha Minneso	How Ot NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota	ther States Fund Pu 10 Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding \$14,196 \$18,249		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minneso Wyomin Virginia	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire oota	ther States Fund Pu Is Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid	112018 Per Pupil Funding \$14,196 \$18,249 \$15,190 \$21,348 \$12,936		
Top M Massact New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18	ther States Fund Pt **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base	112018 Per Pupil Funding \$14,196 \$18,249 \$15,291 \$15,190 \$21,348 \$12,936 \$17,028 \$17,028		
Top N Massact New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana	How Ot NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18	ther States Fund Pu Is Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid	### Federal Funds ### Federal Funds ### 12018 Per Pupil Funding ### 514,196 ### 516,291 ### 515,190 ### 512,936 ### 512,936 ### 517,028 ### 513,976		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	How Ot NAEP States husetts rsey umpshire ota 18 it	ther States Fund Pt In Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula	112018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	How Ot NAEP States husetts rsey umpshire ota 18 it	ther States Fund Pt In Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula	112018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	### Federal Funds ### 12018 Per Pupil Funding ### 12018 Pe		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massaci New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pu **Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massach New Jer New Ha Minnest Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	How Ott NAEP States husetts rssey impshire ota ing int titicut titicut *** *** *** *** ** ** ** **	** ** *** *** *** ** ** ** ** **	### Sederal Funds ### Substitute		
Top N Massach New Jer New Ha Minnest Wyomin Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	NAEP States husetts rsey impshire ota 18 tticut	ther States Fund Pt Is Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formu	12018 Per Pupil Funding 514,196 518,249 516,291 515,190 521,348 512,936 517,028 513,976 516,832 59,258 59		
Top N Massact New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomir Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	How Others that the state of th	ther States Fund Pu Is Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Form	12018 Per Pupil Funding \$14,196 \$18,249 \$16,291 \$15,190 \$21,388 \$12,936 \$17,028 \$13,976 \$16,832 \$91,515,190 \$13,976 \$16,832 \$15,519 \$15,519 \$15,519 \$15,519 \$15,519 \$17,028 \$13,976 \$16,832 \$13,976 \$16,832 \$16,		
Top N Massact New Jer New Ha Minness Wyomir Virginia Vermon Indiana Connect Utah	How Others that the state of th	ther States Fund Pt Is Funding Mechanism Hybrid Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formula Resource-based Allocation Hybrid Guaranteed Tax Base Student-based Foundation Formula Student-based Foundation Formu	12018 Per Pupil Funding \$14,196 \$18,249 \$16,291 \$15,190 \$21,388 \$12,936 \$17,028 \$13,976 \$16,832 \$91,515,190 \$13,976 \$16,832 \$15,519 \$15,519 \$15,519 \$15,519 \$15,519 \$17,028 \$13,976 \$16,832 \$13,976 \$16,832 \$16,		

Top SREB States	Funding Mechanism	Per Pupil Funding (overall)
Virginia	Resource-based Allocation	\$12,936
Florida Student-based Foundation Formula		\$10,751
Maryland	Student-based Foundation Formula	\$13,622
North Carolina*	Resource-based Allocation Model	\$10,345
Kentucky	Student-based Foundation Formula	\$13,532
Georgia	Hybrid	\$13,319
Tennessee	Resource-based Allocation Model	\$11,797
Texas	Student-based Foundation Formula	\$12,825
ettent Constituete Information		

for cost-of-living differences using the Missouri Economic Research and Information Center's Cost of Living Data Series.
--



How Other States Fund Public Schools

Contiguous States	Funding Mechanism	Per Pupil Funding (overall)
Missouri	Student-based Foundation Formula	\$14,523
Tennessee	Resource-based Allocation Model	\$11,797
Texas	Student-based Foundation Formula	\$11,709
Oklahoma	Student-based Foundation Formula	\$10,732
Arkansas	Student-based Foundation Formula	\$13,113
Mississippi	Hybrid	\$11,650
Louisiana	Student-based Foundation Formula	\$13,645



Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Other State & Federal Funds

Student-Based Foundation Funding

According to research....

Student-based foundation funding works best in schools of around 500 and districts of around 3,500



Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds

Student-Based Foundation Funding

	National (2018)	Arkansas (2021)
Average School Enrollment	513	452
Average District Enrollment	3,769	1,809






Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds	
Stakeholder Feedback	
"The economics work differently in the smaller districts and the legislature needs to realize this and make the appropriate adjustments to the matrix to account for schools smaller than 500." -Arkansas superintendent	
* * * * * * 2002 ADEQUACY REPORT * * * * * *	
State-level Funding	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds	
State-level Funds Devoted to Education	
•Public School Fund Account (PSF)	
•Educational Excellence Trust Fund (EETF)	
•Educational Adequacy Fund (EAF)	
 Department of Education Fund Account Educational Facilities Partnership Fund Account (EFPF) 	
,	
AST AND	
* * * * * * 2022 ADEQUACY REPORT * * * * * * *	

Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds	
State-level Funds Devoted to Education	
•Public School Fund •General state revenues •Educational Excellence Trust Fund •Educational Adequacy Fund	
	<u> </u>
* * * * * * 2022 ADSTQUACY REPORT * * * * * * *	
Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Federal Funds	
State-level Funds Devoted to Education	
 Educational Excellence Trust Fund "Off-the-top" deduction from gross general revenues; amount distributed to EETF is 14.14% of prior year sales and use tax collections 	
 PSF receives 67.16% of the total funding available to the EETF 	
 Used by DESE to provide a portion of the State Foundation Funding Aid distributed to districts and are to be used for teacher salaries 	
* * * * * 2022 ADEQUACY REPORT * * * * * *	
Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds	
State-level Funds Devoted to Education	
•Educational Adequacy Fund	-
• A 7/8th cent sales tax increase • The expansion of sales taxes to some services	
 An increase in vending machine decal fees An increased minimum corporate franchise tax and tax rate, and 	
• A portion of the six-cent per gallon dyed diesel tax.	
* * * * * * * 2022 ADEQUACY REPORT * * * * * * *	

Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Federal Funds	
State-level Funds Devoted to Education	
•The Department of Education Fund Account is primarily used for the operations of DESE. •Primary sources: •State general revenue •Transfers from EAF and EETF •Educational Facilities Partnership Fund Account	
+ + + + 2022 ADEQUACY REPORT + + + + 22	
Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds Federal Funds	
State-level Funds Devoted to Education	
•A net increase of \$45.6 M in FY21 over prior year Gen. Educational	
Public School Fund Account Fund Fund Fund Fund Fund Fund Fund Fund	
2020 2,169,729,298 16,298,264 226,827,803 1,111,263 62,387,201 595,416,316 3,071,770,145 2021 2,178,778,730 16,346,413 234,068,325 1,146,735 63,059,675 623,996,221 3,117,396,099	
2221 2,110,10,130 10,000,133 234,000,323 2,1240,133 00,000,000 00,000,000	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Funding for Districts	
and Charters	





Categorical The Matrix •Tool for calculating foundation funding Per-pupil funding (student-based foundation funding) based on PY ADM Not in Legislation Introduction Matrix Categorical The Matrix Per-Pupil Foundation Funding, 5-Year Trend \$7,018 The \$6,646 in 2017 \$6,899 would be the \$6,781 equivalent of \$6,713 \$6,646 \$7,366.56 in 2021 when adjusted for inflation. 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 **School-Level Staffing** IN THE MATRIX



School-Level Staffing

School-Level Staffing Matrix Items	FTEs	2021 Salary + Benefits	2021 Per Pupil Amt.
Classroom Teachers	24.94	\$68,470	\$3,416
Pupil Support	8.75	\$68,470	\$1,198
Principal	1.0	\$99,012	\$198
Secretary	1.0	\$40,855	\$82
Total	35.69		\$4,894



Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Federal Funds

Classroom Teachers

Matrix Line	2021 Per Pupil Amount	2021 Funding Amount
Core Teachers: Kindergarten	\$274	\$130,474,241
Core Teachers: Grades 1-3	\$685	\$326,185,602
Core Teachers: Grades 4-12	\$1,890	\$900,272,263
Non-Core: PE, Art, and Music (PAM) Teachers	\$567	\$270,081,679
Total	\$3,416	\$1,627,013,785



Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Other State & Federal Funds

Classroom Teacher-Student Ratios

	2021 Matrix Teacher-Student Ratios	Evidence-Based Model			
Kindergarten	1:20	1:15			
Grades 1-3	1:23	1:15			
Grades 4-12	1:25	1:25			
PE, Art, and Music (PAM)		5.2:450 elementary			
Teachers	4:500	3.6:450 middle school 8:600 high school			



Introduction Matrix Categorical **Past Studies and Research Findings** Research consistently Past Arkansas studies and other national studies suggests a lower teacherstudent ratio for recommend a 1:15 ratio for Kindergarten-3rd grades. Kindergarten-3rd grades. Categorical **Classroom Teachers** Majority of superintendents Small schools need more funding because we have to meet the same standards with the same number of students. Ex. If I had 23 K students I report more funding is needed for classroom teachers would have to add a teacher at 1 FTE and the majority of that teacher's salary is not accounted or in the matrix." –Arkansas Superintendent 20% "The inefficiency created when a school has 2-5 kids over the standards. It is the ■ Extremely/Moderately most inefficient way to operate based upon matrix funding, yet we are required to hire additional staff that the matrix does not ☐ Minimally/Not in need ver." – Arkansas Superintendent * * * * 2022 ADEQUACY REF Introduction Matrix Categorical **Classroom Teacher- Class Size and FTEs** Matrix DESE Assumed # Assumed # Funded Unfunded Funded Classroo of Students of Students Classes Students Teacher Size Rule Total Per Grade FTEs 20-22 40 40 0 Kindergarten 2 2.0


Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds


Classroom Teacher- Class Size and FTEs


Grade	DESE Classroom Size Rule	Assumed # of Students Total	Assumed # of Students Per Grade	Funded Classes	Unfunded Students	Matrix Funded Teacher FTEs
Grade 1	23-25	115	38.33	1-2	15.33	5.0*
Grade 2	23-25		38.33	1-2	15.33	
Grade 3	23-25		38.33	1-2	15.33	




















Librarian/Media Specialist

Matrix Line	2021 Per Pupil Amount	2021 Total Amount
Librarian/Media Specialist	\$116	\$55,451,552




Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Federal Funds


Librarian/Media Specialist


2021 Matrix Teacher-Student Ratio	District Ratio (FTEs All Funds)		Evidence-Based Model*
.85:500	.97:500	.04:500	1:450 elementary and middle schools 1:600 high school



Categorical Introduction Matrix **Past Studies and Research Findings** Students with access to full-time licensed librarians perform At least 1.0 FTE, which is consistent Funding is below recommendations of with research from other state adequacy better on state reading assessments. the National Center for Education studies, and below state accreditation Statistics. requirement. Categorical Librarian/Media Specialist Majority of superintendents do not report more funding is needed for librarians/media specialists 34% 66% ■ Extremely/Moderately in need ☐ Minimally/Not in need Categorical **Guidance Counselors** 2021 2021 **Matrix Line** Per Pupil Amount **Total Amount Guidance Counselors** \$152 \$72,413,204









Other Pupil Support

Categorical


2021 Matrix Teacher-Student Ratio	District Ratio (FTEs All Funds)		Evidence-Based Model*
.72:500	.92:500	.86:500	Discussed under additional funding needs section






















School-Level Resources


IN THE MATRIX



Categorical **School-Level Resources** School-Level Resources Matrix Items Per Pupil Amount Technology \$250 Instructional Materials \$188 **Extra Duty Funds** \$66 **Supervisory Aides** \$50 Substitutes \$72 Total \$626

Technology 2021 / 2022/2023 Per Student Amount \$250 / \$250 / \$250 \$119,098,000 \$100 Supplemental Supplemental Supplemental Federal Funds Other State & Federal Funds Per Student Amount Evidence-Based Model \$250 / \$250 / \$250 \$119,098,000 \$250 but, if 1-1, \$400






Categorical Supplemental Supplemental Funds Categorical Survey shows community broadband more of an issue than school broadband Research shows students need to be more comfortable working in digital formats as more testing online Access to broadband and devices big factor during Covid crisis


Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Federal Funds


Instructional Materials

2021 / 2022/2023 Per Student Amount	2021 Total Amount	Evidence-Based Model
\$188 / \$193 / \$197	\$89,514,057	\$225: \$170 (instructional) \$30 (library) \$25 (interim assessments)











Supervisory Aides

2021 / 2022/2023 Per Student Amount	2021 Total Amount	Evidence-Based Model
\$ 50 / \$51/ \$53	\$23,819,600	1:225 for elementary and middle; 1:200 for high school




7	Introduction	Matrix	Categorical	Supplemental	Other State &	
4	Introduction	 Iviatrix	 Categoricai	 Funds	 Federal Funds	/

Supervisory Aides

Research shows:

- Needed for lunch duty, hallways, playground
- Should not be used as instructional aides as these aides not shown to benefit achievement












Substitutes

Research shows:

 Many states provide funding for about ten days for each teacher, similar to companies and government providing one sick day per month for employees.





District-Level Resources

IN THE MATRIX







District-Level Resources

District-Level Resources Matrix Items	2021 Per Pupil Amount
Operations & Maintenance	\$706
Central Office	\$439
Transportation	\$321
Total	\$1,466

















Additional Adequacy Funding Needs






Introduction	\geq	Matrix	Categorical	\searrow	Supplemental Funds	\searrow	Other State & Federal Funds	\rangle
0.4	.12.0	1			e		NI I	

Additional Adequacy Funding Needs

Resource Component Needs
Mental Health Services
School Safety/SROS
Dyslexia Support Services
Special Education Support
Preschool













not have the funds to do so. This would make a huge impact for student success."


—Arkansas Principal







Categorical Funding









Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Other State & Federal Funds **Alternative Learning Environment** 2021 / 2022/2023 2021 Total Amount Evidence-Based Model Per ALE FTE Amount 1.0 FTE Asst. Principal \$30,866,787 1:7 (preferred) \$4,700 / \$4,794 / \$4,890 1:15 Teacher-FTE 1:15 (maximum) Student Ratio Teacher-Student Ratio Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds **Enhanced Student Achievement** 2021 / 2022/2023 2021 Total Research-Based **Per ESA Student Amount** Amount Recommendation \$526 / \$532 / \$538 Weight of 20% <70%: 70%-90%: \$1,051 / \$1,063 / \$1,076 \$236,505,233 more than regular student funding >90%: \$1,576 / \$1,594 / \$1,613 Introduction Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds **Enhanced Student Achievement** Translated to weights: • \$526 = 7% more • \$1,051 = 15% more • \$1,576 = 22% more







Supplemental Funding































Professional Development

	2021 Total Amount	% of PD Categorical Funds	Distribution Method
Districts	\$17,160,841	53%	Per-Student (\$36)
AETN	\$2,744,350	8%	Flat Amount
Solution Tree	\$12,500,000	39%	Flat Amount
Total Amount	t \$32,405,191		





Introduction	\geq	Matrix	\geq	Categorical	Supplemental Funds	\geq	Other State & Federal Funds

Educator Compensation Reform Program


2021 /2022 /2023 Total Amount \$15 million/ \$15 million / \$15 million



Introduction	Matrix Categorical Supplemental Funds Other State & Federal Funds	
	Teacher Salary Equalization	
	2021 /2022 /2023 Total Amount	
	\$0 / \$25 million / \$25 million	
	* * * 2022 ADEQUACY REPORT * * * * * 100	
Les a Ballet de pres	*	

Other Local, State and Federal Funding





Uses of Other State Funding 2020 Amount Systy 1781,719 Systy 1781,719	
* Adult Education • Gifted and Talented • Special Education • Health Services • Educator/Leadership Training * * * * * * * *** *** *** *** **	
* Adult Education • Gifted and Talented • Special Education • Health Services • Educator/Leadership Training * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
• Gifted and Talented • Special Education • Health Services • Educator/Leadership Training * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Health Services Educator/Leadership Training * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Categorical Supplemental Other State & Federal Funds Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Federal Funding 2020 Amount \$594,388,632 Uses of Federal Funding • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Special Education Special Education Title I English Language Learners Career and Technical Education	
2020 Amount \$594,388,632 • Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
• Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
• Special Education • Title I • English Language Learners • Career and Technical Education	
Title I English Language Learners Career and Technical Education	
English Language Learners Career and Technical Education — —————————————————————————————————	
* * * * * 2022 ADEQUACY REPORT * * * * * * * *	
* * * * * 2002 ADROUACY REPORT * * * * * * ***	
* * * * * 2023 ADROUBECY REPORT * * * * * * *	
* * * * * 2032 ADEQUACY SEPORT * * * * * * *	
Arkansas's Funding System	
-	
All Funding	
_	
Other, 30% Foundation	
Additional Funding, 62%	
2% Categorical Funding,	
5%	

Today's	Major	Take-A	ways

- Bulk of schools' funding provided through "foundation funding" (matrix).
- Almost 40% of schools funding comes from sources other than foundation.
- Research and educators point to other resources that are important for providing an adequate education but aren't specified in the matrix.
- · Issues with the matrix itself important to understand.
- 500-member school critical to efficiency and achievement



* * * * * * 2022 ADEQUACY REPORT * * * * * * * *

Survey Response

"For the first time in seventeen years in education, I feel like my school is receiving the money necessary to fully operate and meet legislative mandates. Unfortunately, the funds we are receiving must be tied to COVID-19 procedures and learning loss and will not last beyond the pandemic. I implore any legislator who reads this to please continue to fund our public schools they way they have been during this pandemic. We know better than ever how essential public education is to the well-being of our children. Let's give every child the very best education possible." —Arkansas Principal



* * * * * 2002 ADDIQUACY REPORT * * * * * * * *

TOMORROW

How does funding compare with actual spending patterns?



Questions or To Do's	
FUNDING	